arXiv:hep-ph/0204310v1 26 Apr 2002

Gluon Correlators in the Kogan–Kovner Model

B. M. Gripaios [*]
Department of Physics - Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford,
1 Keble Road, Oxford. OX1 3NP UK

OUTP-02 22P

April 26, 2002

Abstract

The Lorentz-invariant gluon correlation functions $\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle$ and $\langle F\tilde{F}(x)F\tilde{F}(x')\rangle$, corresponding to scalar and pseudo-scalar glueballs, are calculated for Kogan's and Kovner's variational ansatz for the pure $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills wavefunctional.

One expects that only one dynamical mass scale should be present in QCD; the ansatz generates the expected scale for both glueballs, as well as an additional scale for the scalar glueball. The additional mass scale must therefore vanish, or be close to the expected one. This is shown to constrain the nature of the phase transition in the Kogan–Kovner ansatz.

1 Introduction

Strongly interacting non-Abelian gauge theories require non-perturbative methods. One such, the application of the variational approximation to the Schrödinger formulation, goes back to the days of Feynman [1]. Though such methods are approximate, they incorporate many of the desirable features of non-Abelian gauge theories, in particular the gluon condensate, asymptotic freedom, instantons, dynamical mass generation and confinement [2–7]. Variational methods have also met success applied to Abelian theories [8–10].

In the Schrödinger formulation of pure $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills theory, the wavefunctional $\Psi[A_i^a(x)]$ is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \, \frac{1}{2}[E_i^{a2}(x) + B_i^{a2}(x)], \tag{1}$$

where $E_i^a(x) = i\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^a(x)}$, $B_i^a(x) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}(\partial_j A_k^a(x) - \partial_k A_j^a(x) + g f^{abc} A_j^b A_k^c)$ and physical states satisfy Gauss' law as a constraint:

$$[\partial_i E_i^a(x) - g f^{abc} A_i^b E_i^c]\Psi[A] = 0. \tag{2}$$

Kogan and Kovner [2] proposed the gauge invariant Gaussian ansatz

$$\Psi[A_i^a(x)] = \int DU \ \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x d^3y \ A_i^{Ua}(x)\delta^{ab}\delta_{ij}G^{-1}(x-y)A_j^{Ub}(y)\Big], \tag{3}$$

where under a local gauge transformation $U(x)$,

$$A_i^a(x) \to A_i^{Ua}(x) = S^{ab}(x)A_i^b(x) + \lambda_i^a(x), \tag{4}$$

---

[*] b.gripaios1@physics.ox.ac.uk

and DU is the $SU(N)$ group invariant measure. Here $S^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^a U^\dagger \tau^b U)$, $\lambda_i^a = \frac{i}{g}\mathrm{tr}(\tau^a U^\dagger \partial_i U)$ and $\frac{\tau^a}{2}$ form an $N \times N$ Hermitian representation of $SU(N)$: $[\frac{\tau^a}{2}, \frac{\tau^b}{2}] = if^{abc}\frac{\tau^c}{2}$ with normalisation $\mathrm{tr}(\tau^a \tau^b) = 2\delta^{ab}$. The A-field propagator has a mass parameter M, with respect to which the Hamiltonian is minimised. The authors found that M is of the correct size to generate the observed gluon condensate [11].

In sections 3 and 4 of this paper, the Lorentz invariant gluon correlators $\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle$ and $\langle F\tilde{F}(x)F\tilde{F}(x')\rangle$ are calculated using the same ansatz, and their scaling properties at large separations are analysed. Naïvely, one expects that the correlators will decay as $\exp -M|x-x'|$, with mass scale M. Firstly though, let us recall the details of the ansatz and calculation of the correlation functions therein.

2 Correlator Rubric

To calculate correlation functions, consider the generating functional

$$Z = \int DA\ \Psi[A]\Psi[A]; \tag{5}$$

the correlator of operator O is then

$$\langle O\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int DA\ \Psi[A]O\Psi[A]. \tag{6}$$

For gauge invariant O, one of the gauge integrations is redundant. Since the gauge transformation is linear on the gauge fields, the gauge field path integral is Gaussian. Using matrix notation for integration, *viz.*

$$(AB)^{ac}_{ik}(x,z) = \Sigma_{b,j}\int d^3y\ A^{ab}_{ij}(x,y)B^{bc}_{jk}(y,z), \tag{7}$$

the generating functional is proportional to

$$\int DADU\ \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(A+a)^T\mathcal{M}(A+a)]\exp[-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^T(G+SGS^T)^{-1})\lambda]. \tag{8}$$

Here, matrices are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{ab}_{ij}(x,y) &= S^{ab}(x)\delta(x-y)\delta_{ij}, \\ G^{ab}_{ij} &= G(x-y)\delta^{ab}\delta_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= S^T G^{-1} S + G^{-1} \text{ and} \\ a &= \mathcal{M}^{-1} S^T G^{-1}\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that S is orthogonal whereas $\mathcal{M}$ and G are symmetric.

To calculate a correlator, substitute the operator in (6) to obtain the correlator of some string of A fields. Then do the A-field path integral; this is a free field theory. Thus

$$\langle A^a_i(x)A^b_j(y)\rangle = a^a_i(x)a^b_j(y) + \mathcal{M}^{-1}{}^{ab}_{ij}(x,y), \tag{10}$$

and so on via Wick's theorem.

This done, one is left with an integral over gauge transformations U. The stratagem here is to factor the gauge transformation U into a product $U_L U_H$ with parts dependent on high or low momentum modes of magnitude k: U_H with $k > M$ and U_L with $k < M$ respectively. Both the measure and action factorise similarly, since the group measure is invariant and the high and low modes are orthogonal. The A-field propagator takes the form $G^{-1}(k) = \sqrt{k^2+M^2}$. For $k > M$, take $G^{-1}(k) \simeq k$ and treat the theory perturbatively. With $U = \exp[ig\phi^a\tau^a]$, to $O(g^2)$ the high mode action takes the form

$$\Gamma_H[\phi] = \frac{1}{4}\int d^3x d^3y\, \partial_i\phi^a(x)\, G^{-1}(x-y)\big|_{k>M}\, \partial_i\phi^a(y). \tag{11}$$

One again obtains a free field, with propagator

$$\langle\phi^a(x)\phi^b(y)\rangle = 2\delta^{ab}[\partial_i^x\partial_i^y G^{-1}(x-y)\big|_{k>M}]^{-1} \equiv 2\delta^{ab}H^{-1}(x-y). \tag{12}$$

For $k < M$, take $G^{-1}(k) \simeq M$ such that $G^{-1}(x-y)|_{k<M}$ is local; the matrices U_L vary only on scales greater than $1/M$ and can be considered constant in the action.[1] The resulting leading order low mode action is

$$\Gamma_L[U] = \frac{M}{2g^2}\mathrm{tr}\int d^3x\, \partial_i U_L^\dagger(x)\partial_i U_L(x). \tag{13}$$

The integration over high modes amounts to a renormalization group transformation. The effect on the low modes is to replace g in (13) by the coupling at the scale M. This sigma model is calculated in the mean field approximation: the action is replaced by

$$\frac{M}{2g^2(M)}\mathrm{tr}\int d^3x\, \left[\partial_i U_L^\dagger(x)\partial_i U_L(x) + \sigma^2(U_L^\dagger U_L - 1)\right], \tag{14}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\langle U_L^\dagger U_L\rangle = 1, \tag{15}$$
$$\langle \sigma U_L\rangle = 0. \tag{16}$$

So the $U_{mn}(x)$ act as N^2 free charged scalar fields with propagator

$$\langle U_{Lkl}^\dagger(x)U_{Lmn}(y)\rangle = \frac{2g^2}{M}\delta_{kn}\delta_{lm}(-\partial_i\partial_i + \sigma^2)^{-1}\delta(x-y). \tag{17}$$

The momentum split allows the further simplifications

$$\begin{aligned} S^{ab}(x) &= S_L^{ab}(x),\\ \mathcal{M}^{ab}(x,y) &= 2\delta^{ab}G^{-1}(x-y),\\ \lambda_i^a(x) &= \lambda_{iL}^a(x) + \lambda_{iH}^a(x),\\ a_i^a(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{iL}^a(x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{iH}^b S_L^{ba}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Before tackling specific correlators, let us note also the following points:

- The non-perturbative physics is captured in the low mode action; elsewhere we work to $O(g)$.
- A factor S_L in a correlator contributes $\langle U_L^\dagger U_L\rangle$ in the Wick expansion, and therefore a factor of g^2, from (17). The only leading order terms are those in which the orthogonality of the S_L can be used, such that they cancel.
- Orthogonality of the S_L can be used whenever they are separated by a scale less than $1/M$. Thus, wherever, S_L appears, it is sufficient to assume orthogonality, and integrate up to distance scale $1/M$. Contributions on larger scales are higher order in g.
- The action is quadratic in the decoupled λ_L, λ_H; correlators with an odd number of λ_L or λ_H vanish.

---

[1] In the action, U_L are multiplied by $G^{-1}(x) \sim 0$ for $|x| > 1/M$

- A correlator with an odd number of a and *ergo* A will therefore vanish to leading order.
- Since λ^a_{iH} is just $-\partial_i\phi^a$, $\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j\lambda^a_{kH} = 0$.
- λ_L is pure gauge and Abelian magnetic terms like $(\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j\lambda^a_{kL})^2$ vanish to $O(g)$.
- Thus, any correlator with two or more $\epsilon\partial a$ terms must vanish to $O(g)$.
- In seeking the scaling properties of correlators, constants and contact terms may be discarded.

3 Scalar gluon correlator

The Lorentz invariant gluon correlators are $\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle$ and $\langle F\tilde{F}(x)F\tilde{F}(x')\rangle$, where

$$F^2 = F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} = 2(B^{a2}_i - E^{a2}_i), \tag{19}$$

$$F\tilde{F} = \frac{1}{2!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^a_{\sigma\rho} = 4B^a_iE^a_i. \tag{20}$$

Discarding a contact term, the scalar correlator is thus

$$\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle = 4\langle B^2(x)B^2(x') + E^2(x)E^2(x') - 2B^2(x)E^2(x')\rangle. \tag{21}$$

To $O(g)$, the chromo-magnetic term is

$$\begin{aligned} B^{a2}_i(x)B^{'a2}_i(x) = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ilm}\epsilon^{'}_{ijk}\epsilon'{}_{ilm}[&\partial_jA^a_k\partial_lA^a_m\partial^{'}_jA^{'a}_k\partial^{'}_lA^{'a}_m \\ &+ gf^{abc}\partial_jA^a_kA^b_lA^c_m\partial^{'}_jA^{'a}_k\partial^{'}_lA^{'a}_m + gf^{'abc}\partial'{}_jA^{'a}_kA^{'b}_lA^{'c}_m\partial_jA^a_k\partial_lA^a_m], \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where a prime on a field indicates that its parameters carry primes. The terms of $O(g)$ have five A-fields and these vanish. Using (10), the only terms with less than two $\epsilon\partial a$s contain $\mathcal{M}$s only. To wit,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B^{a2}_i(x)B^{'a2}_i(x)\rangle = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ilm}\epsilon^{'}_{ijk}\epsilon^{'}_{ilm}[&\partial^x_j\partial^y_l\mathcal{M}^{-1aa}_{\;\;km}(x,y)\partial^{'x}_j\partial^{'y}_l\mathcal{M}^{'-1aa}_{\;\;km}(x,y)|_{x=y} \\ &+\partial^x_j\partial^{'x}_j\mathcal{M}^{-1aa'}_{\;\;kk'}(x,x')\partial^x_l\partial^{'x}_l\mathcal{M}^{-1aa'}_{\;\;mm'}(x,x') \\ &+\partial^x_j\partial^{'x}_l\mathcal{M}^{-1aa'}_{\;\;km'}(x,x')\partial^x_l\partial^{'x}_j\mathcal{M}^{-1aa'}_{\;\;mk'}(x,x')]. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ilm} = \delta_{jl}\delta_{km} - \delta_{jm}\delta_{kl}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{ab}_{ij}(x,y) = 2\delta^{ab}\delta_{ij}G^{-1}(x-y)$ yields (restoring primes and discarding a constant)

$$\begin{aligned} &+\frac{1}{2}(N^2-1)\partial^x_j\partial^{x'}_{j'}G(x-x')\partial^x_j\partial^{x'}_{j'}G(x-x') \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(N^2-1)\partial^x_j\partial^{x'}_jG(x-x')\partial^x_{j'}\partial^{x'}_{j'}G(x-x'). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

How do these terms scale? Recall

$$G(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,G(k)e^{ik.x}, \tag{25}$$

where $G(k)^{-1} = \sqrt{k^2+M^2}$. Choose spherical polars with k_3-axis along x. Then

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2x}\int_0^{\infty}dk\,\frac{k}{\sqrt{k^2+M^2}}\sin kx = \frac{1}{4\pi^2ix}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\,\frac{k}{\sqrt{k^2+M^2}}e^{ikx}. \tag{26}$$

The contour can be deformed in $\mathbb{C}$ to surround the branch cut going from iM to ∞ (*cf.* [12, pp. 27-28]) and for large x approaches

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2 x}\int_M^\infty dy \frac{ye^{-xy}}{\sqrt{y^2-M^2}} = \frac{M}{2\pi^2 x}K_1(Mx) \sim (\frac{M}{8\pi^3 x^3})^{1/2}e^{-Mx}. \tag{27}$$

Similarly,

$$G^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 ix}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ k\sqrt{k^2+M^2}e^{ikx} = \frac{M^2}{2\pi^2 x^2}K_2(Mx) \sim (\frac{M^3}{8\pi^3 x^5})^{1/2}e^{-Mx}, \tag{28}$$

where $K_{1,2}(Mx)$ are modified Bessel functions [13].

Carrying out the differentiation in (24), one finds that

$$4\langle B^2(x)B^2(x')\rangle \sim \frac{4(N^2-1)M^5 e^{-2M|x-x'|}}{(2\pi)^3|x-x'|^3}, \tag{29}$$

where the expected mass scaling is indeed present.

Consider now the chromo-electric correlator. Here things become more complicated. Doing the functional differentiation in (6), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E_i^{a2}(x)E_i^{'a2}(x)\rangle &= 6(N^2-1)[G^{-1}(x-x')]^2\\ &- 4G^{-1}(x-x')\int d^3y d^3y'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)\langle A_i^a(y)A_i^a(y')\rangle\\ &+ \int d^3y d^3y' d^3z d^3z'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(z'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)G^{-1}(z-x)\\ &\langle A_{i'}^{a'}(y')A_{i'}^{a'}(z')A_i^a(y)A_i^a(z)\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

plus constants. Using (10) and (18), and the orthogonality properties of the S_L, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{3}{2}(N^2-1)[G^{-1}(x-x')]^2\\ &-\frac{1}{2}G^{-1}(x-x')\int d^3y d^3y'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)[\lambda_{iL}^a(y')\lambda_{iL}^a(y)+\lambda_{iH}^a(y')\lambda_{iH}^a(y)]\\ &+\frac{1}{16}\int d^3y d^3y' d^3z d^3z'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(z'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)G^{-1}(z-x)\\ &[\lambda_{iL}^{'a}(y)\lambda_{iL}^{'a}(z)\lambda_{iL}^a(y)\lambda_{iL}^a(z)+\lambda_{iH}^{'a}(y)\lambda_{iH}^{'a}(z)\lambda_{iH}^a(y)\lambda_{iH}^a(z)+4\lambda_{iH}^{'b}(y)S_{iL}^{'ba}(y)\lambda_{iL}^{'a}(z)\lambda_{iH}^b(y)S_{iL}^{ba}(y)\lambda_{iL}^a(z)].\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The inverse relation $\int d^3y\ G^{-1}(x-y)G(y-z) = \delta^3(x-z)$ has been used.

The averaging over the gauge transformations U remains. The orthogonality of the k-modes ensures the following property: in any integral of the form $\int d^3x\ f(x)g(x)$, where g contains only high (low) mode contributions, only high (low) mode parts of f contribute to the integral. Then, since $G^{-1}(x-y)|_{k<M} \simeq M\delta(x-y)$ is local, the integrations in (31) involving λ_L are trivial.

The integrations involving λ_H are a little more tricky. Consider, for example, the simplest one:

$$-\frac{1}{2}G^{-1}(x-x')\int d^3y d^3y'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)\langle\lambda_{iH}^a(y')\lambda_{iH}^a(y)\rangle. \tag{32}$$

Using (12), this is

$$-(N^2-1)G^{-1}(x-x')\int d^3y d^3y'\ G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(y-x)\partial_i^y\partial_i^{y'}H^{-1}(y-y'). \tag{33}$$

Fourier decomposition of the propagators yields the simple result

$$-(N^2-1)G^{-1}(x-x')G^{-1}(x-x')|_{k>M}. \tag{34}$$

The quartic term is similar. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle {\phi'}^a(y){\phi'}^a(z)\phi^a(y)\phi^a(z)\rangle = 4\big[&(N^2-1)^2H^{-1}(y'-z')H^{-1}(y-z)\\ +(N^2-1)H^{-1}(y'-y)H^{-1}(z'-z)&+(N^2-1)H^{-1}(y'-z)H^{-1}(z'-y)\big],\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

the terms dependent on $x-x'$ become (Fourier decomposing again)

$$\frac{(N^2-1)}{4}\int_{k>M}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{G^{-1}(k)G^{-1}(k')}{k^2k'^2}(k.k')^2e^{i(k+k').(x-x')}. \tag{36}$$

These integrals can be evaluated, but are not very illuminating, amounting to Fourier transforms of various simple powers of k. If the theory is regularised with a cut-off, these are just spurious oscillatory functions of $M|x-x'|$. One obtains the same generic oscillatory result if one tries to calculate $G^{-1}(x-x')|_{k\lesseqgtr M}$ explicitly; what is important for our purposes is that the only mass scale present is M.

The remaining term in λ_H in (31) is quadratic. The high mode propagator contributes a factor $\delta^{bb'}$ and the orthogonality property of the S_L can then be used.

The low mode expectation values remain in (31). The simplest terms are quadratic:

$$\langle\lambda^a_{iL}(x')\lambda^a_{iL}(x)\rangle. \tag{37}$$

The Fierz identity for $SU(N)$: $\tau^a_{ij}\tau^a_{kl}=2(\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}-\frac{1}{N}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})$ implies

$$\lambda^a_{iL}(x')\lambda^a_{iL}(x)=-\frac{2}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}(U^\dagger_L(x')\partial_iU_L(x')U^\dagger_L(x)\partial_iU_L(x)). \tag{38}$$

The U_L contain modes with $k<M$; for $x\neq x'$, the unitarity of the U_L cannot be invoked. Doing the Wick expansion, one sees that these term are $O(g^2)$.

The quartic low mode term is (using (17))

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{M^4}{16}\langle{\lambda'}^a_{iL}(x){\lambda'}^a_{iL}(x)\lambda^a_{iL}(x)\lambda^a_{iL}(x)\rangle\\ =&\frac{M^4}{4g^4}\langle\mathrm{tr}(\partial'_iU(x')\partial'_iU^\dagger(x'))\mathrm{tr}(\partial_iU(x)\partial_iU^\dagger(x))\rangle\\ =&2M^2N^2\left[\int_{k<M}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{k_ik_{i'}}{k^2+\sigma^2}e^{ik.(x-x')}\right]^2.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This low mode term is very interesting. Consider the integral

$$\int_{k<M}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{e^{ik.x}}{k^2+\sigma^2}=\frac{1}{4\pi^2iy}\int_{-M}^{M}dk\,\frac{ke^{iky}}{k^2+\sigma^2}, \tag{40}$$

where ($y=|x-x'|$). In the limit $M\to\infty$ this is a simple contour integral. Closing the contour in the upper half plane, and enclosing the pole at $k=i\sigma$ one obtains

$$\frac{1}{4\pi y}e^{-\sigma y}. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, one can evaluate the integral for finite M using the exponential integral function (*cf.* [14, p. 228]); one finds precisely this term, plus complicated terms involving M. But this term takes the form of a mass term: σ appears as an *additional* mass scale in gluon correlation functions.

So now there are two mass scales, M and σ, in marked contrast to what one expects. How do we get ourselves out of this quandary? One way is to choose $\sigma \simeq M$. Then the mass scale σ is present and yet impossible to detect. The other way out is to force σ to be zero.

It seems then, that if one makes a somewhat arbitrary choice of $\sigma = 0$ or M to fit the expected behaviour, then things work out satisfyingly. But these choices look distinctly *less* arbitrary in the context of Kogan's and Kovner's model. They consider the action (13) as a statistical mechanical system with $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ symmetry at temperature $g^2(M)$. Since QCD is asymptotically free, large M corresponds to low temperatures, and conversely. One expects that the system will undergo a phase transition from an ordered state with spontaneous symmetry breaking to a disordered state with the full symmetry restored at some critical temperature. One is then left to speculate as to the critical temperature (coupling) and the nature of the phase transition. In the context of mean field theory, σ acts as a mass gap; for a second order transition it vanishes continuously at the critical point, and is otherwise non-zero.

Kogan and Kovner argue that the system's internal energy will be minimised at, or close to, the critical point, with σ taking its critical value, corresponding to the mass gap. We have argued that σ can only take the values zero or M. Thus, the case $\sigma = 0$ corresponds to no mass gap, and a second order phase transition, as predicted by the mean field theory. The case $\sigma \simeq M$ corresponds to a mass gap as big as the ultraviolet cut-off in the low mode model: clearly this corresponds to a strongly first order phase transition. Intermediate behaviour (*e.g.* a weakly first order transition) has observable consequences for the gluon correlators.

Collecting up the terms generated by (31), that is (34), (36) and (39), the chromo-electric correlator becomes, for $\sigma = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}4\langle E_i^{a2}(x)E_i^{'a2}(x)\rangle &= 6(N^2-1)[G^{-1}(x-x')]^2 \\ &- 4(N^2-1)G^{-1}(x-x')G^{-1}(x-x')|_{k>M} \\ &+ \left[8N^2\int_{k<M} + (N^2-1)\int_{k>M}\right]\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3}\,G^{-1}(k)G^{-1}(k')\frac{(k.k')^2}{k^2k'^2}e^{i(k+k').(x-x')}.\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The final part of $\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle$ is (up to a contact term)

$$-8\langle B^2(x)E^2(x')\rangle = -8\langle\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ilm}\partial_j^x A_k^a\partial_l^x A_m^a i\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^{'a}(x)}i\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^{'a}(x)}\rangle, \tag{43}$$

where terms of $O(g^2)$ or with an odd number of A-fields have been discarded. Calculating as before, we find that this is a contact term.

After a good deal of effort, we have arrived at an expression for the correlator $\langle F^2(x)F^2(x')\rangle$ for $\sigma = 0$, namely the sum of (29) and (42). The explicit form of the correlator is not especially illuminating. What *is* significant is that unless $\sigma = 0$ or M, there is an additional mass scale in the correlator.

4 Pseudo-scalar gluon correlator

Let us proceed to calculate the scaling properties of the pseudo-scalar glueball correlator. In calculating the scalar correlator, the σ mass scale came from the quartic term in λ_L. Such a term is not present in the pseudo-scalar correlator, suggesting that only the expected mass scale M will be present.

Discarding contact terms, the correlator can be written unambiguously as $16\langle BB'EE'\rangle$. Doing the functional differentiation in (6) and retaining terms even in A of $O(g)$ gives

$$16\langle\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j^x A_k^a\epsilon_{ijk}^{'}\partial_j^{'x}A_k^{'a}[\delta^{aa'}\delta_{ii'}G^{-1}(x-x') - \int d^3y'd^3z\,G^{-1}(y'-x')G^{-1}(z-x)A_i^{'a}(y)A_i^a(z)]\rangle. \tag{44}$$

The first term contains two $\epsilon\partial$s only, so its only non-zero part has $\langle AA\rangle \to \mathcal{M}^{-1}$, *i.e.*

$$16(N^2-1)G^{-1}(x-x')\partial_j^x\partial_j^{x'}G(x-x'), \tag{45}$$

with the expected mass scale M. The second term is more complicated. The possible non-zero parts in the four-point A correlator in (44) are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_j^x A_k^a \partial_j^{'x} A_k^{'a} A_i^{'a}(y) A_i^a(z)\rangle &= \partial_j^x \partial_j^{'x} \mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{kk'}^{aa'}(x,x')\mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{i'i}^{a'a}(y',z)\\ &+ \partial_j^x \mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{ki'}^{aa'}(x,y')\partial_j^{'x}\mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{k'i}^{a'a}(x',z)\\ &+ 2\partial_j^x \mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{ki'}^{aa'}(x,y')\partial_j^{'x} a_k^{'a}(x) a_i^a(z)\\ &+ \partial_j^x\partial_j^{'x}\mathcal{M}^{-1}{}_{kk'}^{aa'}(x,x') a_i^{'a}(y) a_i^a(z).\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The first term yields $-8(N^2-1)G^{-1}(x-x')\partial_j^x\partial_j^{x'}G(x-x')$ and the second term turns out to be a contact term.

The third and fourth terms on the RHS of (46) have both high and low mode contributions. For the low mode parts, the integrations in (44) are trivial. they result in a factor $\langle\lambda_L(x)\lambda_L(x')\rangle$, which is $O(g^2)$.

The only remaining contribution comes from the high modes in the last term. This is

$$16(N^2-1)[\partial_j^x\partial_i^{x'}G(x-x')\int_{k>M}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{k_ik_j}{k^2}G^{-1}(k)e^{ik.(x-x')} - \partial_j^x\partial_j^{x'}G(x-x')G^{-1}(x-x')|_{k>M}]. \tag{47}$$

Thus, the only mass scale in the pseudo-scalar glueball correlator is M.

5 Discussion

In the above, an extensive analysis of the gluon correlators in the Kogan-Kovner ansatz has been made. It has been shown that the gauge and Lorentz invariant gluon correlators contain the expected dynamically generated mass scale M. Moreover, it has been shown that an additional mass scale σ is present in the scalar glueball, and should be observable, *unless* it vanishes, or lies close to M. This constrains the phase transition in the σ-model part of the Kogan–Kovner ansatz to be of second order, or strongly first order, respectively. If this is the case, then the scalar and pseudo-scalar glueball masses are degenerate.

6 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank I. I. Kogan and A. Kovner for their comments.

References

[1] R.P. Feynman, In ‘Wangerooge 1987, Proceedings, Variational Calculations in Quantum Field Theory’ 28-40.

[2] I.I. Kogan and A. Kovner, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 3719, hep-th/9408081.

[3] W.E. Brown and I.I. Kogan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A14 (1999) 799, hep-th/9705136.

[4] K. Zarembo, Phys. Lett. B421 (1998) 325, hep-th/9710235.

[5] W.E. Brown, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A13 (1998) 5219, hep-th/9711189.

[6] D. Diakonov, (1998), hep-th/9805137.

[7] W. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 034015, hep-ph/9808216.

[8] S.D. Drell et al., Phys. Rev. D19 (1979) 619.

[9] I.I. Kogan and A. Kovner, Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 1948, hep-th/9410067.

[10] A. Kovner and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 105032, hep-lat/9811015.

[11] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147 (1979) 385.

[12] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, Reading, USA: Addison-Wesley (1995) 842 p.

[13] G.N. Watson, Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge University Press, 1966).

[14] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, editors, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover, 1965).